

**Notice of Not Fully Responsive Reply for
Applications Under Accelerated
Examination**

Application No.

10/586,111

Applicant(s)

BARTH ET AL.

Examiner

MD. YOUNUS MEAH

Art Unit

1652

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

This application has been granted special status under the accelerated examination program.

The reply filed 16 September 2010 is not fully responsive to the prior **non-final Office action** because of the following reason(s):

1. ☐ The reply includes an amendment that attempts to add claims which would result in more than three (3) independent claims, or more than twenty (20) total claims.
2. ☐ The reply includes an amendment that attempts to present claims not encompassed by the preexamination search.
3. ☐ The reply includes an amendment that attempts to present claims not encompassed by the accelerated examination support document and an updated accelerated examination support document was not submitted with the amendment.
4. ☐ The reply includes an amendment that attempts to present claims that are directed to a nonelected invention or an invention other than previously claimed in the application.
5. ☐ The reply includes arguments or other items that are not limited to the rejections, objections, and requirements made, such as _____ on page _____ of the reply.
6. ☒ Other (including any explanation in support of the above items): Election of invention for examination not according to the restriction requirement.

Deleted: _____

The reply has not been entered. Since the above-identified reply appears to be *bona fide*, applicant is give a time period of **ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS** from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid **ABANDONMENT**. **NO EXTENSIONS OF TIME** under 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be permitted.

/Md. Younus Meah/